Placemaking and Landscape Design Services for New Waterfront Park RFP S-26 Addendum #1 February 27, 2017

1. Is planning for a land swap with 285 Derby Street to be included in this proposal. Are funds of this potential swap to be allocated to the park?

The City and the owner of 285 Derby have agreed to work collaboratively on the design and re-use of both properties. The consultant should expect to coordinate design efforts with the owner of 285 Derby so that any planned improvements and potential uses of that property are accommodated by and integrated into park design and activity.

2. Does the city have a topographic plan of the site? If not, is the fee for this work to be included in the proposal?

There is no site survey. The consultant will need to include that in their budget.

3. Is there a digital survey available for the property? If not does the City plan on completing one prior to the commencement of the Community Engagement process?

Please see response to Question No.2

4. Has any preliminary environmental testing been conducted on the site and will those results be made available to RFP applicants?

In 2016, the City contracted with an LSP to conduct a Phase 1 Site Assessment (attached). The study showed that all underground storage tanks were removed and found no Recognized Environmental Conditions.

5. Other than the schedule set forth by the City, is there any timeline that is required from the funding sources?

Construction funding will be available July 2017 and should be expended by the end of the fiscal year (June 2018).

6. Will the winning design team be responsible for permitting?

The selected consultants are responsible for all permitting (Task 3 Park Design and Permitting).

7. Task 4 "Construction Oversight" is not included in the proposed fee. Please confirm that this is correct and that is should be omitted from the Price Proposal Form.

Task 4 "Construction Oversight" is included on the Price Proposal form, for informational purposes. The cost of construction oversight will be included with the construction budget, when that is developed. Please include an amount for Task 4 on the form.

8. When was the last time the South River was dredged?

To the City's knowledge it has never been dredged.

9. Who is responsible for printing bid documents?

The City will require electronic copies of specifications and plans to be posted on the City's website. The proposer should also include the cost of three hardcopy sets.

10. What is the condition of the sea wall? Has there been an engineering study done?

The City does not possess any recent engineering information on the condition of the seawall.

11. Can you clarify what is required as "training" under Task 2?

Some local organizations or institutions may be able to carry out improvements recommended by the visioning process. The consultant should describe what process or approach they might take to enable locals to continue the place making process on an ongoing basis.

12. The tight schedule and desire for a robust public process seem to potentially conflict with one another. Can the numbers of public meetings or rigorous public outreach be quantified or the scope of public process be explained further?

There may be some flexibility in the overall schedule, if the City determines that a longer public process would be beneficial. The City is open to recommendations from the consultant about how they plan to approach the public process.